

NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Harold Runnels Building 1190 South St. Francis Drive (87505) P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469 Phone (505) 827-0187 Fax (505) 827-0160 www.env.nm.gov



BUTCH TONGATE Cabinet Secretary

J. C. BORREGO Deputy Secretary

Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested

June 1, 2018

Ms. Lisa Murphy Manager Las Cruces International Airport PO Box 20000 Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004

Re: Las Cruces International Airport / Las Cruces International Airport; MSGP; SIC 4581; NPDES Compliance Evaluation Inspection; NPDES# NMR053390; May 2, 2018

Dear Ms. Murphy:

Enclosed please find a copy of the report and check list for the referenced inspection that the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) conducted at your facility on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). This inspection report will be sent to the USEPA in Dallas for their review. These inspections are used by USEPA to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting program in accordance with requirements of the federal Clean Water Act.

Further explanations and problems noted during this inspection are discussed on the completed form and checklist of this inspection report.

You are encouraged to review the inspection report, required to correct any problems noted during the inspection, and advised to modify your operational and/or administrative procedures, as appropriate. If you have comments on or concerns with the basis for the findings in the NMED inspection report, please contact us (see the address below) in writing within 30 days from the date of this letter. Further, you are encouraged to notify in writing both the USEPA and NMED regarding modifications and compliance schedules at the addresses below:

Robert Houston US Environmental Protection Agency, Suite 1200 Enforcement Branch (6EN-WS) 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas, Texas 75202-2733 Sarah Holcomb, Program Manager New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau Point Source Regulation Section P.O. Box 5469 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502 If you have any questions about this inspection report, please contact Jennifer Foote at (505)827-0596 or at Jennifer.Foote@state.nm.us.

Sincerely,

/s/ Sarah Holcomb

Sarah Holcomb Program Manager Point Source Regulation Section Surface Water Quality Bureau

cc: Carol Peters-Wagnon, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail David Long, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail Nancy Williams, USEPA (6EN-WC) by e-mail Amy Andrews, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail David Esparza, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail Robert Houston, USEPA (6EN)

Darlene Whitten-Hill, USEPA (6EN) by e-mail Michael Kesler, NMED District III by e-mail Peter Bennett, City of Las Cruces by e-mail

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85



Transaction Code					
N					
S E C T O R S A I R T R A N S P O R T A T I O N					
S E C T O R S A I R T R A N S P O R T A T I O N					
Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation Rating Facility Data Section B: Facility Data Entry Time /Date 1:20 pm 5/2/18 Facility Data Facili					
Section B: Facility Data Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) Las Cruces International Airport, 8990 Zia Boulevard, Las Cruces, NM 110 Exit 132 West of Las Cruces, North To Terminal Dona Ana County Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Lori Romero/Administrative Assistanty505-541-2471 Josh Garcia/ Airfield Maintenance/505-541-2471 Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Ms. Lisa Murphy, Manager /505-541-2471 Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004 Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) N CSO/SSO					
Section B: Facility Data Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) Las Cruces International Airport, 8990 Zia Boulevard, Las Cruces, NM Exit Time/Date 1:20 pm 5/2/18 Exit Time/Date 9:20 am 5/3/18 Fermit Effective Date 6-4-2015 Exit Time/Date 9:20 am 5/3/18 Other Facility Data 5-4-2020 Other Facility Data SIC CODE: 4581-2471 Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Ms. Lisa Murphy, Manager /505-541-2471 Las Cruces International Airport PO Box 20000 Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004 Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) S Permit N Power Maintenance N CSO/SSO					
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) Las Cruces International Airport, 8990 Zia Boulevard, Las Cruces, NM I10 Exit 132 West of Las Cruces, North To Terminal Dona Ana County Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Lori Romero/Administrative Assistant/505-541-2471 Josh Garcia/ Airfield Maintenance/505-541-2471 Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Ms. Lisa Murphy, Manager /505-541-2471 Las Cruces International Airport PO Box 20000 Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004 Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) S Permit N Operations & Maintenance N CSO/SSO					
POTW name and NPDES permit number) Las Cruces International Airport, 8990 Zia Boulevard, Las Cruces, NM 110 Exit 132 West of Las Cruces, North To Terminal Dona Ana County Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Lori Romero/Administrative Assistant/505-541-2471 Josh Garcia/ Airfield Maintenance/505-541-2471 Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Ms. Lisa Murphy, Manager /505-541-2471 Las Cruces International Airport PO Box 20000 Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004 1:20 pm 5/2/18 Exit Time/Date 9:20 am 5/3/18 Other Facility Data SIC CODE: 4581 Airports, Flying Fields, and Airport Terminal Services Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) S Permit N Operations & Maintenance N CSO/SSO					
Las Cruces International Airport, 8990 Zia Boulevard, Las Cruces, NM 110 Exit 132 West of Las Cruces, North To Terminal Dona Ana County Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Lori Romero/Administrative Assistant/505-541-2471 Josh Garcia/ Airfield Maintenance/505-541-2471 Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Ms. Lisa Murphy, Manager /505-541-2471 Las Cruces International Airport PO Box 20000 Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004 Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) Separation & M. CSO/SSO No. CSO/SSO					
Exit Time/Date 9:20 am 5/3/18 Dona Ana County					
Pona Ana County Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Lori Romero/Administrative Assistant/505-541-2471 Josh Garcia/ Airfield Maintenance/505-541-2471 Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Ms. Lisa Murphy, Manager /505-541-2471 Las Cruces International Airport PO Box 20000 Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004 Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) S Permit N Flow Measurement N Operations & Maintenance N CSO/SSO					
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Lori Romero/Administrative Assistant/505-541-2471 Josh Garcia/ Airfield Maintenance/505-541-2471 Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Ms. Lisa Murphy, Manager /505-541-2471 Las Cruces International Airport PO Box 20000 Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004 Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) S Permit N Operations & Maintenance N CSO/SSO					
Lori Romero/Administrative Assistant/505-541-2471 Josh Garcia/ Airfield Maintenance/505-541-2471 Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Ms. Lisa Murphy, Manager /505-541-2471 Las Cruces International Airport PO Box 20000 Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004 Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) Services No Sic CODE: 4581 Airports, Flying Fields, and Airport Terminal Services **Services** **Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) N Operations & Maintenance N CSO/SSO					
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Ms. Lisa Murphy, Manager /505-541-2471 Las Cruces International Airport PO Box 20000 Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004 Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) N Operations & Maintenance N CSO/SSO					
Ms. Lisa Murphy, Manager /505-541-2471 Las Cruces International Airport PO Box 20000 Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004 Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) S Permit N Operations & Maintenance N CSO/SSO					
Las Cruces International Airport PO Box 20000 Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004 Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) S Permit N Flow Measurement N Operations & Maintenance N CSO/SSO					
Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004 Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) S Permit N Flow Measurement N Operations & Maintenance N CSO/SSO					
(S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated) S Permit N Flow Measurement N Operations & Maintenance N CSO/SSO					
S Permit N Flow Measurement N Operations & Maintenance N CSO/SSO					
operations & Atlanticalities					
M Records/Reports N Self-Monitoring Program N Sludge Handling/Disposal U Pollution Prevention N Facility Site Review N Compliance Schedules N Pretreatment N Multimedia					
Taciny Sie Review Companies Schedules Trefrediment					
N Effuent/Receiving waters Laboratory Storm water Other.					
Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)					
1. The Inspector arrived on site at 1:20PM on May 2, 2018 accompanied by Peter Bennett and Jacob Kidd from City of					
Las Cruces, and met with Ms. Lori Romero, where the purpose of the inspection was explained and credentials					
presented. Ms. Romero explained that the Manager was out of town and that the maintenance crew would be back at 6 am. The inspectors reviewed the onsite SWPPP documents, and the inspectors returned the next morning at 7 am to					
tour the site and to meet with Mr. Josh Garcia. They also briefly met with a staff member from Francis Aviation. No-					
one answered the door at Southwest Aviation. An exit interview was conducted with Mr. Garcia to discuss the					
preliminary findings of this inspection at approximately 9:00 am.					
r					
2. See attached for findings and comments.					
2. See attached for findings and comments.					
2. See attached for findings and comments.					
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax Date					
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax Date 5/31/18					

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94) Previous editions are obsolete.

<u>Na</u>	tional Database Informa	Ge	neral	
Inspection Type	-		Inspector Name	Jennifer Foote
NPDES ID Number	NMR053390-Las Cruces NMR053399- Southwes NMR053400- Francis Av NMU001968- Mesilla Va NMU001967- Air Methoo	t Aviation viation ılley Aircraft	Telephone	505-827-0596
Inspection Date			Entry Time	1:20 PM
Inspector Type (circle one)		□EPA Oversight	Exit Time	9:20 AM
Facility Sector/ SIC/Activity Code			Signature	/s/ Jennifer Foote

Facility Location Information									
Name/Location/ Mailing Address	Las Cruces International Airport 8990 Zia Blvd. Las Cruces, NM 88007								
GPS Coordinates	Latitude 32.28274 Longitude -106.92047								
Receiving Water(s)	Unnamed Arroyo to Natzinger Arroyo thence to Rio Grande Segment 20.6.4.101								

Contact Information								
	Name(s)	Telephone						
Name(s) and Role(s) of All Parties	NMR053390-Las Cruces International Airport	575-541-2471						
Meeting the Definition of Operator	NMR053399- Southwest Aviation	575-524-8048						
	NMR053400- Francis Aviation	575-526-6341						
	NMU001968- Mesilla Valley Aircraft	575-541-0878						
	NMU001967- Air Methods DBA Native Air	303-792-7400						
Facility Contact	Lisa Murphy Airport Manager	575-541-2471						
Authorized Official(s)	Las Cruces International Airport- Lisa Murphy	575-541-2471						
· /	Southwest Aviation- Hal Kading	575-524-8047						
	Francis Aviation- Nicholas Gogolew	575-589-4586						

Basic Permit Inform	ation	Basic SWPPP Information	
Permit Coverage	⊠Y	⊠N	SWPPP Prepared & Available ⊠ Y □I
Permit Type	⊠ General	□ Individual	SWPPP Contents Satisfactory ☐ Y ☑I
Operational Date	Airport 1943		SWPPP Implementation ⊠ Y □I Satisfactory
NOI/Application Date	4-26-16		SWPPP Date 6/10/2016
If applicable, is no exposure certification on file?		□N ⊠N/A	Intentionally left blank

SWPPP Review					
<u>General</u>			Notes:		
Was the SWPPP completed prior to NOI submission?	Y	⊠ N	Unknown. Previous SWPPP was not available. NOI Submitted Current SWPPP certified Permittee 4-26-16 6-10-16 Las Cruces Int. Airport 6-14-16 6-14-16 Southwest Aviation 6-17-16 6-17-16 Francis Aviation		
Copy of the NOI and acknowledgment letter from EPA?	⊠ Y	□ N			
Copy of the permit language?	× Y	□ N			
Have copies of inspection reports/all other documentation been retained as part of the SWPPP for 3 years from date permit coverage expires?	Y	× N			
Does the SWPPP contain a signed/certified statement indicating that the site is inactive and unstaffed, and that there are no industrial materials or activities exposed to precipitation, in accordance with the substantive requirements in 40 CFR 122.26(g)(4)(iii)? Applicable to: Routine facility inspection (4.1.3) Quarterly visual assessment (4.2.3) Benchmark monitoring (6.2.1.3).	Y	□ x	N/A		
Does the SWPPP include copies of relevant parts of other documents (e.g., SPCC) referenced in the SWPPP?	× Y	□ N	SWPPP states SPCC to be developed, but it has been developed and SPCC was on shelf with SWPPP.		
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under the Endangered Species Act?	□ Y	⊠ N	NOI states no species present, SWPP documentation shows species may be present.		
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under the Historic Preservation Act?	× Y	□ N			
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under NEPA (New Source)?	Y	_ N	N/A		
Did all "operators" sign/certify the SWPPP?	X Y	□ N	All permitted operators.		
Is the storm water pollution prevention team identified (name or title)?	× Y	□ N	Team listed consists of Lisa Murphy, Nick Gogolew, and Hal Kading.		
Are the storm water pollution prevention team's responsibilities identified?	Y	⊠ N	Only describes which parts of the airport they are responsible for. Does not describe who is responsible for maintain and modifying the SWPPP, implementing and maintaining control measures and taking corrective actions. For each activity that an operator (e.g., the airport authority) conducts on behalf of another operator		

		(e.g., a tenant), the SWPPP must describe a process for reporting results to the latter operator and for ensuring appropriate follow-up, if necessary, by all affected operators.
--	--	---

Site Description			Notes:
SWPPP provides a description of the facility's industrial activities?	⊠ Y	□ N	
Is there a general location map (e.g., USGS quadrangle map) with enough detail to identify the location of the facility and all receiving waters for storm water discharges?	× Y	□ N	
Is there a site specific site map?	⊠ Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain the size of the property in acres?	× Y	□ N	Map and plan state the facility is 2,193 acres, Facility description states area of industrial activity exposed to stormwater is approximately 10 acres, those 10 acres were not specified on map.
Does the site map contain the location and extent of significant structures and impervious surfaces?	× Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain directions of storm water flow (indicated by arrows)?	⊠ Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain locations of all existing structural control measures?	× Y	N	
Does the site map contain locations of all receiving waters in the immediate vicinity of the facility, indicating if any of the waters are impaired, and if so, whether the waters have TMDLs established for them?	⊠ Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain locations of all storm water conveyances including ditches, pipes and swales?	□ Y	⊠ N	Shows storm drain lines, but not storm drain locations themselves.Drainage paths to south are missing.
Does the site map contain locations of all potential pollutants and significant materials identified under Part 5.1.3.2?	Y	⊠ N	There is only a rectangle indicating the industrial area. A drum storage area was found in a gravel pit (which may be indicated as detention basin
Does the site map contain locations where significant spills or leaks identified under Part 5.1.3.3 have occurred?	Y	⊠ N	No spills or leaks were identified, however there were signs of ongoing leaks noted during the site visit
Does the site map contain locations of all storm water monitoring points?	⊠ Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain locations of storm water inlets and outfalls, with a unique identification (e.g., 001, 002) for each outfall and if substantially identical?	Y	⊠ N	
Does the site map contain municipal separate storm sewers and where the facility discharges to them?	Y	□ Z	N/A
Does the site map contain locations and descriptions of all non-storm water discharges?	Y	□ N	N/A

Site Description			Notes:
Does the site map contain locations of the following activities where these activities are exposed to precipitation?	Y	⊠ N	Only provides general "industrial area" rectangle and fuel farm location.
Fueling stations			
Vehicle and equipment maintenance and/or cleaning areas			
Loading/unloading areas			
Locations used for the treatment, storage or disposal of wastes			
Liquid storage tanks			
Processing and storage areas			
Immediate access roads and rail lines used or travelled by carriers of raw materials, manufactured products, waste materials, or byproducts used or created by the facility			
Transfer areas for substances in bulk			
Machinery			
Does the site map contain locations and sources of run-on to the site from adjacent property that contains significant quantities of pollutants?	Y	□ N	N/A
Does the SWPPP document areas at the facility where industrial materials or activities are exposed to storm water and from which allowable non-storm water discharges are released?	Y	□ N	N/A
Does the SWPPP include a list of the industrial activities exposed to storm water (e.g., material storage; equipment fueling, maintenance, and cleaning; cutting steel beams)?	× Y	□ N	
Does the SWPPP include a list of pollutants and/or pollutant constituents associated with each identified activity?	× Y	□ N	
Does the SWPPP include documentation of where spills and leaks occurred for three years prior to the preparation of the SWPPP?	□ Y	⊠ N	Plan states no significant past spills or leaks have occurred. Spill log was blank. Some leaks and spills seem to have been long term. (Photos1-9).

Site Description			Notes:
Does the SWPPP include a non-storm water discharge evaluation in the SWPPP? Does it include: Date Description of evaluation criteria List of the outfalls or onsite drainage points directly observed Different types of non-storm water discharges and source locations Actions taken such as a list of control measures for elimination.	□ Y	× N	Evaluation was performed Jan 13, 2016 and Feb 22, 2016. States that no unauthorized discharges were noted, did not describe if any authorized non storm water discharges occur at the facility.
Does salt storage occur at this facility?	Y	× N	
Does the SWPPP include a summary of storm water sampling data for the previous permit term?	Y	N	N/A
Controls to Reduce Pollutants			Notes:
Does the SWPPP include documentation of the location and type of control measures at the facility to comply with the requirements in Part 2?	× Y	□ N	
Does the SWPPP include documentation that selection and design of control measures were based on a consideration of the practices and procedures in Part 2.1.1?	Y	××	
Does the SWPPP include measures to minimize the exposure of manufacturing, processing, and material storage areas (including loading and unloading, storage, disposal, cleaning, maintenance, and fueling operations) to rain, snow, snowmelt, and runoff by either locating these industrial materials and activities inside or protecting them with storm resistant coverings?	×	□ Z	
Does the SWPPP include good housekeeping measures (e.g., keeping all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants clean, using such measures as sweeping at regular intervals, keeping materials orderly and labeled, and storing materials in appropriate containers)?	×	□ N	

Controls to Reduce Pollutants			Notes:
Does the SWPPP include a schedule for pickup and disposal of wastes and routine inspections of tanks and drums?	Y	⊠ N	SWPP states it is routine.
Does the SWPPP include preventative maintenance procedures, including regular inspections, testing, maintenance, and repair of all industrial equipment and systems, and control measures, and back-up practices should a runoff event occur while a control measure is off-line?	× Y	□ N	
Does the SWPPP include a schedule for preventative maintenance procedures?	Y	⊠ N	SWPPP states that routine inspections will determine maintenance needs. Includes the stormwater drainage system and bulk fuel storage area.
Does the SWPPP include procedures for minimizing the potential for leaks, spills and other releases that may be exposed to storm water and develop plans for effective response to such spills if or when they occur?	×	□ N	
Does the facility implement procedures for plainly labeling containers (e.g., "Used Oil," "Spent Solvents," "Fertilizers and Pesticides," etc.) that could be susceptible to spillage or leakage to encourage proper handling and facilitate rapid response if spills or leaks occur?	Y	× N	Drums were not labeled. Drums were open and signs of leakage were present.(Photo1-9). One location implemented proper procedures for drum storage (photo10). No documentation or procedures for inspecting stormwater before discharging from tank sumps was available.
Does the facility implement preventative measures such as barriers between material storage and traffic areas, secondary containment provisions, and procedures for material storage and handling?	Y	⊠ N	
Does the facility implement procedures for expeditiously stopping, containing, and cleaning up leaks, spills, and other releases?	Y	⊠ N	Signs of long term leaking equipment were visible. Some had been cleaned up, but were still leaking.
Does the facility train employees who may cause, detect, or respond to a spill or leak in these procedures and have necessary spill response equipment available?	× Y	N	
Does the facility document and follow procedures for notification of appropriate facility personnel, emergency response agencies, and regulatory agencies?	⊠ Y	□ N	

Controls to Reduce Pollutants			Notes:
Does the SWPPP document erosion and sediment controls?	⊠ Y	□ N	
Does the facility stabilize exposed areas and contain runoff using structural and/or non-structural control measures to minimize onsite erosion and sedimentation, and the resulting discharge of pollutants?	×	□ Z	
Does the facility place flow velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and within outfall channels where necessary to reduce erosion and/or settle out pollutants?	× Y	□ N	
If the facility stores salt at this facility, are the piles enclosed or covered? Does the facility implement appropriate measures (e.g., good housekeeping, diversions, containment) to minimize exposure resulting from adding to or removing materials from the pile?	Y	□ N	N/A
Employee Training – is there a schedule for regular (at least annually) employee training?	□ Y	⊠ N	Training records available for March 1, 2018 and June 17, 2016. Only SWPPP team members on training roster are Lisa Murphy and Nick Gogalew in 2016.
Does training cover both the specific control measures used to achieve the effluent limits in Part 2 and monitoring, inspection, planning, reporting, and documentation requirements in other parts of the permit?	× Y	□ N	
Does the facility ensure that waste, garbage, and floatable debris are not discharged to receiving waters by keeping exposed areas free of such materials or by intercepting them before they are discharged?	⊠ Y	□ Z	
Does the facility minimize generation of dust and off-site tracking of raw, final, or waste materials?	× Y	□ N	
Has the facility eliminated non-storm water discharges not authorized by an NPDES permit?	□ Y	□ N	N/A

Notes on SWPPP Review Sector Specific Observations: 8.S.3.1 Permit Coverage/Submittal of NOIs. Where an airport transportation facility has multiple industrial operators that discharge stormwater, each individual operator must obtain coverage under an NPDES stormwater permit. To obtain coverage under the MSGP, all such operators must meet the eligibility requirements in Part 1 and must submit an NOI, per Part 1.2.1.1 (or, if appropriate, a no exposure certification per Part 1.4). Each entity conducting business (SIC codes 4512-4581) on airport property must either have permit coverage or a no exposure certification per Part 1.4 of the permit. In addition, to permittees covered under the SWPPP, the SWPPP describes several tenants who may be conducting industrial activities under shelter such as Mesilla Valley Aviation and Southwest Med Evac/Native Air (now Air Methods dba Native Air). The inspector concluded that the National Guard Counter Drug Unit and NMSU research were not conducting primary business under SIC Codes 4512-4581.

Inspections (Part 4)				
<u>General</u>			Notes:	
Routine Facility Inspections				
Are routine facility inspections conducted at least quarterly while facility operating?		□ N	Las Cruces International Airport performs the inspections for all tenants. The SWPPP states that each permittee is required to maintain their own inspection and training records, however the airport authority maintains all the records.	
 Are inspections documented, including: Date and time Name and signature of inspector Weather information and a description of discharge occurring at the time of the inspection Previously unidentified discharges from site Control measures needing maintenance or repairs Failed control measures that need replacement Incidents of noncompliance observed Additional control measures needed. 	Y	Z	Inspections do not seem to cover areas besides storm drains and bulk fuel storage.	
Exceptions, including (see 4.1.3): • Inactive and unstaffed sites	Y	□ N	N/A	
Quarterly Visual Assessment				
Are quarterly visual assessments conducted?	Y	⊠ N	Only record in SWPP stated no measurable rain for the first quarter. 1" of rain fell in February.	
Does the assessment consist of a sample collected: Within the first 30 minutes of discharge On discharges that occur at least 72 hours (3 days) from the previous discharge Collected in a clean, clear glass or plastic container.	Y	×	No records of visual assessments being conducted.	

Ins	spections			
Are	assessments documented, including:			
•	Sample location			
•	Sample collection date/time & visual assessment date/time			
•	Personnel collecting sample & performing assessment and their signature			Location listed in SWPPP should be reassessed. It appears that the old storm drain system pipes are
•	Nature of the discharge (runoff or snowmelt)	□ Y	N	listed as the discharge location to be sampled. The non stormwater discharge assessment states that
•	Results of observations (including color, odor, clarity, floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam, oil sheen and other obvious indicators)			water runs off the site to the south.
•	Probable sources of contamination			
•	If applicable, reason for not taking samples within 1st 30 minutes.			
Exceptions, including (see 4.2.3):				
•	Adverse weather conditions			Only record in CWDD stated as messurable rain for the
•	Climates with irregular storm water runoff	_		Only record in SWPP stated no measurable rain for the first quarter. 1" of rain fell in February.
•	Areas subject to snow	Y	N	mot quarton i or ram for mir obrada y.
•	Substantially identical outfalls (per 5.1.5.2)	Y	IN	
•	Inactive and unstaffed sites.			
	mprehensive Site Inspections			
	e comprehensive site inspections nducted annually (start 9/29/08)?	□ Y	□ N	N/A
at	nducted by qualified personnel including east one member of the storm water lution prevention team?	□ Y	□ N	N/A
Cover all areas of the facility?			□ Z	N/A
ins yea	lude a review of monitoring data? Do pectors consider the results of the past ar's visual and analytical monitoring when nning and conducting inspections?	□ Y	□ N	N/A

Ins	pections			
Inc	lude observations of the following:			
•	Industrial materials, residue, or trash that may have or could come into contact with storm water			
•	Leaks or spills from industrial equipment, drums, tanks, and other containers			
•	Offsite tracking of industrial or waste materials, or sediment where vehicles enter or exit the site	□ Y	□ N	N/A
•	Tracking or blowing of raw, final, or waste materials from areas of no exposure to exposed areas			
•	Control measures needing replacement, maintenance, or repair			
•	All storm water control measures observed.			
Are	inspections documented, including:			
•	Date of inspection			
•	Names and titles of personnel making the inspection			
•	Findings from examination of areas of facility from Part 4.3.1			
•	All observations relating to implementation of control measures	□ Y		N/A
•	Any required revisions to the SWPPP resulting from inspection	ĭ	IN	
•	Any incidents of noncompliance identified OR certification that facility is in compliance with the permit			
•	A statement signed in accordance with Appendix B, Subsection 11			

Monitoring (Part 6)				
General		Notes:		
Does the SWPPP contain a procedure for conducting sector (and co-located) specific benchmark monitoring?	Y	□ N	N/A Facility does not have de-icing operations	
Does the SWPPP contain procedures for conducting effluent limitations guidelines monitoring?	□ Y	□ N	N/A Facility does not have de-icing operations	
Does the SWPPP contain a procedure for other monitoring (state or tribal specific; impaired waters; other as required)	□ Y	□ N	N/A	
Are samples analyzed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136 methods?		□ N	N/A	
Benchmark Monitoring				
 Does the monitoring consist of a sample collected: Within the first 30 minutes of discharge On discharges that occur at least 72 hours (3 days) from the previous discharge Document the date and duration (in hours) of the rainfall event, rainfall total (snow - date only) for that rainfall Prior to commingling. 	Y	□ X	N/A	
Is monitoring conducted during each of the first four full quarterly (calendar) monitoring periods following permit coverage?		□ N	N/A	
Is the average of the first four quarterly samples < the parameter benchmark?		□ N	N/A	

Мо	Monitoring					
	he average of the first four quarterly mples > the parameter benchmark?					
•	Make the necessary modifications					
•	Continue quarterly monitoring					
•	Determine and document that no further pollutant reductions are technologically available and economically practicable and achievable, continue monitoring once per year, notify EPA	Y	N	N/A		
•	Natural background pollutant level documentation					
Ex	ceptions, including (see 6.1 & 6.2):					
•	Adverse weather conditions					
•	Climates with irregular storm water runoff					
•	Snowmelt	Υ	Ν	N/A		
•	Substantially identical outfalls (per 5.1.5.2)					
•	Inactive and unstaffed sites.					
Eff	luent Limitations Monitoring					
	mpled once per year?	Y	□ N	N/A		
	llow-up requirements if discharge ceeds effluent limit (see 6.3)?	Y	Z	N/A		
Other Required Monitoring						
•	State or Tribal provisions					
•	Discharges to impaired waters		N	N/A		
•	Additional monitoring required by EPA.	ı				
Re	porting (Part 7)					
	General Notes:					
day	monitoring data reported to EPA within 30 ys of receiving analytical results for the onitoring period?	Y	N	N/A		
afte	he annual report submitted by 45 days er conducting the comprehensive site pection?	× Y	□ N	Annual reports submitted January 26, 2018 and January 25, 2017		
res sub	ollow-up effluent limitations monitoring sults exceed numeric limits, was a report omitted to EPA no later than 30 days after sults were received?	Y	□ N	N/A		

SWPPP Implementation	SWPPP Implementation					
Measures to minimize the exposure of manufacturing, processing, and material storage areas (including loading and unloading, storage, disposal, cleaning, maintenance, and fueling operations) to rain, snow, snowmelt, and runoff	(e.g., use grading, berming, or curbing to prevent runoff of contaminated flows and divert run-on away; locate materials, equipment, and activities so that leaks are contained in existing containment and diversion systems; clean up spills and leaks promptly using dry methods (e.g., absorbents) to prevent the discharge of pollutants; use drip pans and absorbents under or around leaky vehicles and equipment or store indoors where feasible; use spill/overflow protection equipment; drain fluids from equipment and vehicles prior to on-site storage or disposal; perform all cleaning operations indoors, under cover, or in bermed areas that prevent runoff and run-on and also that capture any overspray; and ensure that all washwater drains to a proper collection system) Some leaks and spills seem to have been long term and control measures are not always implemented. (Photos1-9).					
Good Housekeeping	(e.g., keeping all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants clean, using such measures as sweeping at regular intervals, keeping materials orderly and labeled, and storing materials in appropriate containers) Waste bins were not covered and trash could be removed by crows or wind (photo12). Drums are not stored within containment (photos 1-9)					
Preventative maintenance	(e.g., regular inspections, testing, maintenance, and repair of all industrial equipment and systems, and control measures, and back-up practices should a runoff event occur while a control measure is off-line) Some leaks and spills seem to have been long term. (Photos1-9).					

SWPPP Implementation	on .
Spill Prevention and Response	(e.g., minimizing the potential for leaks, spills and other releases that may be exposed to storm water and develop plans for effective response to such spills if or when they occur)
	Several items of equipment and drums were leaking, photos 1-9. Staff stated they were trying to determine owners of the leaking plane.
	The aboveground storage tanks had adequate secondary containment and a spill kit was available. Two drums within the fence were labeled and on secondary containment (photo 10). However, other another drum had signs of seepage and was not on containment.
Erosion and Sediment Controls	(e.g., stabilize exposed areas and contain runoff using structural and/or non-structural control measures to minimize onsite erosion and sedimentation, flow velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and within outfall channels)
	Majority of facility is paved with storm drains leading to a vegetated retention pond (photo 13).
Management of Runoff	(e.g., divert, infiltrate, reuse, contain, or otherwise reduce storm water runoff, to minimize pollutants in discharges)
	Majority of facility is paved with storm drains leading to a vegetated retention pond on the southeast. No outlet pipe was visible(photo 13).
Salt Storage Piles	(e.g., enclose or cover piles appropriate measures (e.g., good housekeeping, diversions, containment) to minimize exposure resulting from adding to or removing materials from the pile)
	n/a

SWPPP Implementation	on .
Waste, Garbage and Floatable Debris	(e.g., keep exposed areas free of such materials or by intercepting them before they are discharged)
Part 2.1.2.2	Dumpsters were not covered and contained materials that could be windblown. (photo 12), tumbleweeds were located in drainage channels and blocking storm drains.
Evidence of non- storm water discharges	No non-stormwater discharges were observed leaving the facility at the time of the inspection, however, some equipment near the NMSU building was leaking what appeared to be water at time of inspection (photo 11).
Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials	(minimize generation of dust and off-site tracking of raw, final, or waste materials) Majority of facility is paved, no tracking was noted at the time of inspection.

	NMED/SWQ Official Photograp Photo # 1			
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 5/3/18	Time: 7:27 am		
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana State: New Mexico				
Location: Las Cruces International Airport				
Subject: Leaks and drum storage at Southwest Aviation				



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 2			
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 5/3/18	Time: 7:20 am	
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana State: New Mexico			
Location: Las Cruces International Airport			
Subject: Leaks under fueling trucks			



	NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 3	3		
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 5/3/18	Time: 7:37 am		
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana State: New Mexico				
Location: Las Cruces International Airport				
Subject: used oil drum and staining on ground				



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 4				
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 5/3/18	Time: 7:35 am		
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana State: New Mexico				
Location: Las Cruces International Airport				
Subject: long term leak under plane with overflowing drip pan (unknown owner)				



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 5		
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 5/3/18	Time: 7:34 am
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana		State: New Mexico
Location: Las Cruces International Airport		
Subject: oil staining from plane near storm drain		



	NMED/SWQB Official Photograph L	og
Photo # 6		
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 5/3/18	Time: 7:33am
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana		State: New Mexico
Location: Las Cruces International Airport		
Subject: oil stain and rags on ground near air	plane	



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo #8		
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 5/3/18	Time: 7:41am
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana		State: New Mexico
Location: Las Cruces International Airpor	t	•
Subject: drum storage area		



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 9		
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 5/3/18	Time: 7:41am
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana		State: New Mexico
Location: Las Cruces International Airport		
Subject: open containers and stains on the ground		



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 10			
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 5/3/18	Time: 8:56am	
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana		State: New Mexico	
Location: Las Cruces International Airport			
Subject: drum storage on secondary within ta	nk farm		



	NMED/SWQB Official Photograph I Photo # 11	og
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 5/3/18	Time: 8 am
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana		State: New Mexico
Location: Las Cruces International Airport		
Subject: water leaking from outdoor equipment		



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 12			
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 5/3/18	Time: 7:48am	
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana		State: New Mexico	
Location: Las Cruces International Airport			
Subject: uncovered dumpsters			



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 13		
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 5/3/18	Time: 9:05am
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana		State: New Mexico
Location: Las Cruces International Airport		
Subject: drainage pond with no outlet		

